

## LIPA/Caithness Frauds & Swindles

[www.gfxtechnology.com/KKK.html](http://www.gfxtechnology.com/KKK.html) (*Kessel-Keyspan-Kaithness*)

1. [Demand for \\$1,790 Refund of Illegal Surcharges](#)
2. [Petition To Prosecute LIPA for Mail/Wire/Bank-Fraud](#)
3. [Billion Dollar Surcharge Fraud](#)
4. [Billion Dollar Surcharge Fraud Funds Caithness "Perks"](#)
5. [LIPA Press Release: Caithness "Perks"](#)
6. [Councilwoman Kepert Press Release: Caithness "Perks"](#)

### Caithness Project

7. [Safety Issues Missing from Caithness EIS](#)
8. [Combined Cycle Illustrations & Safety Hazards](#)
9. [Toxic Sites Near Caithness](#)
10. [Intervenor Action Against Caithness Power Plant Near Airport in Blythe, CA](#)
11. [Newsday Story: "Toxic fumes that won't fade away"](#)
12. [Annotated Quick Facts About Caithness](#)

### Legal Actions

13. [LIPA Class Action](#)
14. [Caithness Lawsuit](#)
15. [Ongoing RICO](#) See following excerpts/comments:

### IMPRUDENCE AND MISREPRESENTATIONS

1. *LILCO represented that Shoreham would cost \$271 million dollars; it actually cost over \$5 billion. (How much will Caithness cost?)*

### HISTORY OF LILCO MISREPRESENTATIONS

1. In 1989 a federal jury in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York found in a RICO case that LILCO officials lied repeatedly to the PSC as to the status of completion of the Shoreham project in order to gain rate increases. (What s changed?)

### LILCO/LIPA DEAL MISREPRESENTATIONS

2. *That much of the alleged 17% rate savings is not a rate reduction but is the result of falsely assuming the PSC would grant LILCO rate increases in the future.* (No rate increase was granted, so LIPA imposed an illegal surcharge costing ratepayers over \$1.5 billion since 2001, according to allegations in the [LIPA Class Action Complaint](#).)

6. Estimates of rate savings will not be realized by LIPA if future revenues are less than projected due to competition, lower energy demand, or substitution of alternative energy sources, all of which are reasonably likely to occur. (Without LIPA's illegal surcharge, revenues were less than expected although LIPA thwarted competition, squandered its conservation budget on systems having a Savings-to-Investment-Ratio (SIR) less than one, and blocked alternative energy sources by imposing an illegal fuel surcharge on Green Power, including wind & hydro wheeled from upstate NY.)

[Home](#)

© 2006 Waterfilm Energy, Inc.